

- (c) Concentration of commercial, industrial, and/or other business centers.
  - (d) Areas where signal interference has occurred due to tall buildings, masses of trees, or other obstructions.
  - (e) Topography of the proposed facility location in relation to other facilities with which the proposed facility is to operate.
  - (f) Other specifically identified reason(s) creating facility need.
2. The proposal shall be reviewed in conformity with the co-location requirements of this Section.
  3. For Category C proposals, at the time of the submittal, the applicant shall demonstrate that a location within the AG, M, and LM zoning district cannot reasonably meet the coverage and/or capacity needs of the applicant.
  4. Category C proposals shall be permitted only on the following sites (not stated in any order of priority):
    - (a) Municipally owned site.
    - (b) Other governmentally owned site.
    - (c) Religious or other institutional site.
    - (d) Public park and other large permanent open space areas when compatible.
    - (e) Public or private school site.
    - (f) Other locations if none of the above is available.

#### 6.30.6 Co-location

##### A. **Statement of Policy**

It is the policy of the Township to minimize the overall number of newly established locations for wireless communication facilities and Wireless Communication Support Structures within the community, and encourage the use of existing structures for Attached Wireless Communication Facility purposes.

##### B. **Feasibility of Co-location**

Co-location shall be deemed to be "feasible" for purposes of this section where all of the following are met:

1. The wireless communication provider entity under consideration for co-location will undertake to pay market rent or other market compensation for co-location.
2. The site on which co-location is being considered, taking into consideration reasonable modification or replacement of a facility, is able to provide structural support.
3. The co-location being considered is technologically reasonable, e.g., the co-location will not result in unreasonable interference, given appropriate physical and other adjustment in relation to the structure, antennas, and the like.